

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Group Art Unit: 3644

Examiner: To Be Assigned

In re PATENT APPLICATION of

Applicant(s) : Zhen-Man LIN

Appln. No. : 10/029,951

Filed : December 31, 2001

For : THE NEWEST DEVICE FOR
PRECAUTION SKYJACKING AND
SYSTEM

Atty. Dkt. : GLA 117

INFORMATION
DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT

March 5, 2002

BOX DDCommissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

This is an information disclosure statement submitted in compliance with the timing requirements of 37 C.F.R. §1.97(b)(3).

Attached are copies of six patents cited on pages 3-8 of the specification of the above-identified application, where the relevances of these patents are discussed. The patents are listed on the attached Form PTO-1449.

Since this Information Disclosure Statement is being filed before the mailing date of a first office action on the merits, no certification or fee is required, and the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §1.97 and 1.98 are deemed to be fully met as to the document submitted. Consideration of the submitted document is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

March 5, 2002

Date

SMR:QZ:tz

Steven M. Rabin (Reg. No. 29,102)
RABIN & BERDO, P.C.
(Customer No. 23995)
Telephone: (202) 659-1915
Telefax : (202) 659-1898

#8/105 Hay
Berdo
10/31/02

FORM PTO-1449 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT				Atty Docket		Application No.	
				GLA 117		10/029,951	
				Applicant			
				Zhen-Man LIN			
				Filing Date		Group	
				December 31, 2001		3644	
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Sub-Class	Filing Date
	AA	3,704,845	12/05/72	Ord			
	AB						
	AC						
	AD						
	AE						
	AF						
	AG						
	AH						
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
		Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Sub-Class	Translation
	AI	CN 85100918A	12/20/85	CHINA			
	AJ	CN 1126686A	07/17/96	CHINA			
	AK	CN1038434A	01/03/90	CHINA			
	AL	9-36791	02/07/97	JAPAN			
	AM	9-20297	01/21/97	JAPAN			
OTHER (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.)							
	AN						
	AO						
Examiner						Date Considered	
EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.							

02/02/95

Page 3

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

It is not understood what a flight locus monitor does. Why is it a locus? Is this a translation error?

It is not understood how the double door system works. As shown in figure 1 and 4, if the person trying to enter the cockpit through the double door system, why is the weight sensor and voice recognition system, etc. not in the space covered by the double